# Experimental Investigation and Optimizing of Parameters on Injection Moulding Process with LLDPE Reinforced Fly ash using Taguchi

 B. Sudheer Reddy<sup>1</sup>, K. Arun<sup>2</sup>, Dr. M. Chandra Sekhara Reddy<sup>3</sup>, B.Narayana Reddy<sup>4</sup>
<sup>1</sup>PG Scholar, Dept. Mechanical Engineering, SVCE, Tirupati, India, <u>sudheerb121@gmail.com</u>
<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept. Mechanical Engineering, SVCE, Tirupati, India, <sup>3</sup>Professor, Dept. Mechanical Engineering, SVCE Tirupati, India, <sup>4</sup>Research Scholar, Dept. Mechanical Engineering, SVUCE, Tirupati, India,

## ABSTRACT

Injection Moulding (IM) is an important polymer process operation in the plastic industry. The Polymer Composite Material is injected into a mould cavity, during the process and solidifies to the shape of the mould. The demand for plastic product is very high because of a good set of their better quality, design and appearance in comparison to other material product in the present market. Operating parameters are needed to produce better quality of plastic products. Hence, the present paper deals with the parameter selection for injection moulding using Taguchi and ANOVA, since there are many critical factors involved in the process, the effect of Melting Temperature, Injection Pressure, Cooling Time and Injection Speed are considered in the present paper. A Plastic product from Linear Low Density Polyethylene (LLDPE) as Matrix Material and Flyash as Reinforcement Material (LLDPE + Flyash) Composites are taken for the experiment to obtain optimal injection moulding to find out Impact Strength(IS) in order to minimize defects and increase its strength, and toughness. The analysis of experimental work is performed on MINITAB-17 Statistical Software. The Design of Experiment (DOE) is used with an attempt to optimize input parameters and achieve good results using "Orthogonal Arrays" (OA) by Taguchi method. The output characteristics analysed and presented the optimal results.

Keywords: LLDPE, Fly Ash, Injection moulding, Impact Strength, DOE, Taguchi, ANOVA.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Need for quality of the products with latest developments has made the industries to search for new methods for manufacturing or production of components with low cost. Among the Production Processes, Injection Moulding (IM) is a practical technique used in manufacturing industry for mass production of plastics parts quickly and inexpensive. Further, the plastic parts have become more popular and critical in modern engineering applications.

Injection moulding is generally used to produce thermoplastic polymers. It consists of heating of thermo plastic materials until it melts and then injecting into the steel mould, where it cools and solidifies to take its final shape. The plastic materials are usually received in the granular form. It is placed in the hopper of the moulding machine from which it is fed to a heated cylinder. Granules are heated in the cylinder to melt or plasticize. The melting temperature varies with the material. The mould is usually made-up of steel and water to cool. A plunger forces the molten plastics from the cylinder into the mould wherein, it cools and solidifies. The mould is opened and the moulded part as well as the attached runner is removed.

The present experimental work done to investigate and optimize the critical parameters in Injection Moulding (IM). The main factors include Melting Temperature, Injection Pressure, Cooling Time and Injection Speed with a formal application of DOE. The material used in this work is LLDE + Flyash. The material is choose because it has good strength, high impact-resistance, optical clarity and good electrical insulator. The property of LLDPE is to undergo large plastic deformations with-out cracking or breaking makes it different from most thermoplastics. Fig.1 shows Injection Moulding Machine Processing the mould.



Fig.1 Schematic view of Injection Moulding Machine.



Fig2: Injection Moulding Machine in Operation

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND OBJECTIVE OF PRESENT WORK

## A. Literature Review

Traditionally many techniques are available such as, Taguchi and Neural Network Methods for optimisation of parameters, the inverse model for manufacturing platform is not focused. Therefore, the integration of inverse model contributing MANFIS and Taguchi Method is proposed chung and chong [2009] with numerical studies.

Then the green part quantities (green defect, green strength, and green density) have been considered for parametric optimisation of mould variables such as moulding pressure, moulding temperature, holding pressure, moulding rate, holding time, and cooling time to determine the significant levels ( $\alpha$ ) and contribution of the variables to the green quantities, Kharirur Jamaludin, [2009], the results shows the density of a part is very close the solid density of SS316L.

The quantity characteristics (shrinkage) of a plastic tray made from blends of plastics (75% Polypropylene and 25% Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE)) are determined by experimentation using Thaguchi Method, kamaruddin, et al., [2010].The results shows that the optimal combinations for low shrinkage are low melting temperature, high injection pressure, low holding pressure, long holding time and long closing time

The effect of injection moulding parameters on the shrinkage in PP and PS are investigated for parametric optimisation which minimise shrinkage for both materials by using IWO Algorithm, Alireza Akbarzadeh, [2011].

A multi objective, parametric optimisation modelling algorithm using AAN in combination with GA is an Lexicographic method and proposed for multi-objective parametric optimisation frame work to minimize the energy consumption significantly at laboratory levels, Ninge – yun LU, [2012].

The parametric optimisation based Taguchi method is proposed for polymer processing in injection moulding considering Polypropylene (PP) as the moulding material, Kavade, [2012].

Parametric optimisation of moulding parameters for acceptance strength and density of green compact prior to debinding and sintering process in MIM are conducted on SS Powder (SS316L) and binder PEG, PMMA with SA and proved that immersion time for debinding process is 180 minutes and immersion temperature 59°C. Abu Bakar, [2012].

The multi-cavity molds to manufacture the LED unibody lampshades are studied and proved that the reduction shrinkage, kingsun Lee, [2013].

The critical factors which influence the finished moulded products are studied to find the tensile stress by considering polycarbonate plastic material and then so as to minimize the defects based on the input parametric optimisation, Riaz, [2013].

Effect of temperature pressure and cooling time later on the tensile strength on the polycarbonate tea plates and shown that the variations in temperature, injection pressure and cooling times influence the tensile strength of the plastic material Rishi pareek, [2013].

The standards for parametric values are much essential to reduce the manufacturing defects and proved by using mold flow software by the process the trial and error methods will not be more effective, Anandrao, [2013].

The common defects which influence the rejection of products based on flash, shrinkage, warpage, sink marks, short-shot, bending deflection, weld lines etc. can be avoided by suitable DOE on ANOVA. [Kalpesh, 2014].

Through the high quality HDPE + Kenaf on are consider to test two different format of material to find the strengths and decided the best parametric variables influencing the process, Ibrahim, [2014].

The injection speed and injection pressure are the most dominating factor which determine the quality by considering the thermoplastic polymers have been proved, Abohashima, [2015].

The optimal parametric values are investigated by considering rHDPE from the industry disposal based on the flexualar strength of plastic tray. Jamaluddin Abdullah [2016].

## B. Objective of the present work

So much of research is going on, out the usage of Flyash as reinforcement material with LLDPE Base material has not been exploited fully. Therefore, the study is carried-out in the present work.

## III. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

## A. Composite Material

A composite material is a composed basic material with reinforcement of fibers, particles, flakes, and / or fillers and embedded in a matrix leading to polymer metals or ceramics. The matrix holds the reinforcement to form the desired shape while the reinforcement improves the overall mechanical properties of the matrix.

## B. Constituents of PMCs

The main constituents of PMCs are LLDPE as matrix material (base materials) and Flyash as reinforcement materials in the form of particles. This matrix material and reinforcement material are used to obtain in the different composite.

## C. Matrix Material

In the present work, LLDPE (Linear Low Density Polyethylene) is considered and enriched by the addition of reinforcement material like Fly Ash.

a) Linear Low Density Polyethylene (LLDPE):

LLDPE has a significant number of short branches and hence it has shorter and more branches with chains. Therefore LLDPE higher tensile strength and higher impact and puncture resistance than the LDPE. It has a density of 0.91-0.94 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. The LLDPE granules are shown in Figure-2 followed by the reinforcement material Flyash in Figure -3



Fig. 3: LLDPE Granules

# D. Reinforcement Material - Flyash

Flyash is one of the residues generated in the combustion of coal. It is an industrial by-product recovered from the flue gas of coal burning electric power plants. Depending upon the source and makeup of the coal being burnt, the components of the fly ash produced vary considerably, but all fly ash includes substantial amounts of SiO<sub>2</sub>, Lime, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.Therefore, larger application of fly ash is in the cement and concrete industries.



Fig.4: Flyash

## IV. EXPERIMENTATION

## A. Preparation of PMC Samples:

In the present work, LLDPE Granules are considered as a Matrix Material and Flyash as a reinforcement Material to produce cylindrical rods, by mixing the LLDPE granules with Flyash. The mixture consisting of 90% of LLDPE and 10% of Flyash is shown in figure - 4. The parts those are going to be produced with 40 mm diameter and length of 70 mm are shown in figure-5 and with different combinations.

The polymer composite material is pushed forward from the feed hopper through the barrel towards the nozzle by a rotating screw (Refer Figure - 2)

The barrel is surrounding with band type electric heaters / heating coil to melt the polymer composite material with the temperatures varying between  $100^{\circ}$ C to  $175^{\circ}$ C. At the end of rotating screw the material converts into semisolid of viscous in nature and injected into mould cavity towards the nozzle by a rotating screw.

The material is injected into the mould cavity by the nozzle. The mould is closed and the nozzle of the extruder is pushed against the sprue bushing of the mould.

When the mould is completely filled, the screw remains stationary for some time to keep the plastic in the mould under pressure. This is called the hold time. During the hold time additional melt is injected into the mould to compensate for contraction due to cooling. For cooling the product, its takes for a period of time 10 to 16 sec. When the material in the mould has cooled sufficiently to obtain its shape, the mould opens and the parts are ejected from the mould. When the moulded part has been ejected, the mould closes and the cycle starts over again.

At the injection stage, the pressure developed 40 bar to 70 bar and injection speed is 20 rpm to 50 rpm.



Fig. 5: The LLDPE - 90% + Flyash - 10% mixture.



Fig. 6: Ejected Components with different combinations

# B. Taguchi Approach:

Design of Experiments (DOE) is a popular method applied for inventing new processes, discovering more knowledge about the existing processes and finally achieves optimal solution. DOE usually has three processes as planning the given data, designing as per the array and analyzing the input values for conducting the experiment so that valid and objective conclusions can be achieved perfectly. As such the Taguchi approach is used in the present work.

The process consists of three stages:

- System Design: Selection of Objective Function.
- Parameter Design: Finalizing the levels of selected factors.
- Tolerance Design: Evaluating the final tolerance for each selected factor level.

Taguchi's specially designed method of Orthogonal Array (OA) is applied to select final design and conducted experiments. Taguchi's emphasis on minimizing deviation from target lead him to develop measures of the process output that incorporate both the location of the output as well as the variation. The measures are called signal to noise ratios. The Signal-to-Noise ratio provides a measure of the impact of noise factors on performance.

## C. Influential Parameters:

- a) *Melting Temperature* –It is melting level of temperature to which the plastic material to be heated. This path begins when the material is transferred from the machine hopper into the heating cylinder of the injection unit. The material is injected into the mold where it travels along a runner system, through gates and into cavity. Hence, control of the melt temperature is essential all along that path.
- b) *Injection Pressure* It is the pressure applied on the injection screw when a material is being injected into the mold.
- c) Cooling Time It is a post injection, post holding pressure waiting period during which the tool remains closed so that the part may cool to an

acceptable level, ideally the tool temperature. If cooling time is not sufficient, the part may come-out too hot and deform upon ejection; too long and not meeting the required size.

d) *Injection Speed* – It is directly affects the injection time and injection pressure and dictates how quickly the polymer is forced into the mould. If it is too slow the polymer may freeze before it has fully filled the mould, leading to an incomplete part; too high and it may result in polymer being forced into unwanted areas of the tool this is known as flashing.

SI. No	Influential parameters	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
1	Melting Temperature, A ( <sup>0</sup> C)	100	125	150	175
2	Injection Pressure, B (Bar)	40	50	60	70
3	Cooling Time, D (Sec.)	10	12	14	16
4	Injection Speed, C (rpm)	20	30	40	50

Table 1: Selection of Influential Parameters and their levels

# D. Selection of Orthogonal Array:

Selection of best orthogonal array design, In an L16  $(4^4)$  orthogonal array four levels of each factor are conducted where the selection of the array is done based on its suitability for four factors with four Levels as in Table-2, Table of L16  $(4^4)$  (OA). The selection depends on the level of total degrees of freedom. The four different levels of selected factors are chosen based on the thermal properties of PMC's as shown in table 1 Levels and Control factors.

Trial	Column No.					
No.	Α	В	С	D		
1	1	1	1	1		
2	1	2	2	2		
3	1	3	3	3		
4	1	4	4	4		
5	2	1	2	3		
6	2	2	1	4		
7	2	3	4	1		
8	2	4	3	2		
9	3	1	3	4		
10	3	2	4	3		
11	3	3	1	2		
12	3	4	2	1		
13	4	1	4	2		
14	4	2	3	1		
15	4	3	2	4		
16	4	4	1	3		

Table 3: Optimal Factor -level combinations of Experimental Trials

G N	Melting Temp.	Inj. Pr.	Cooling time	Inj. Speed
S. No	( <sup>0</sup> C)	(Bar)	(Sec)	(rpm)
	A	В	С	D
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	100	40	10	20
2	100	50	12	30
3	100	60	14	40
4	100	70	16	50
5	125	40	12	40
6	125	50	10	50
7	125	60	16	20
8	125	70	14	30
9	150	40	14	50
10	150	50	16	40
11	150	60	10	30
12	150	70	12	20
13	175	40	16	30
14	175	50	14	20
15	175	60	12	50
16	175	70	10	40

Determination of Optimal Factor-Level Combinations, The Control factor combination was arranged as per the orthogonal array design with 16 trials. Therefore, an  $L_{16}$  (4<sup>4</sup>) orthogonal array with sixteen trials was applied. The design layout using the  $L_{16}$  OA is shown in Table 3 Optimal Factor-Level Combinations. Each single row represents an experiment having various combinations of factor levels.

Cumulative interpretation of experimental trials, Signal to noise (S/N) ratio is calculated on the values of Impact Strength, each test specimen was done according to design trials of OA, it is shown in Table 4. As Cumulative Experiment trial values.

# S/N = -10 log (mean square of the inverse of the response)

$$\frac{S}{N} = -10\log_{10}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{n}\frac{1}{y^2}\right]$$

MSD = Mean Square Deviation,  $y_i$  are the response observations and n is the number of trial. Hence, a Larger-thebetter factor was selected for this experimental study.

The response values measured from the experiments and their corresponding S/N ratio values are tabulated in Table-6.1 Considering Table 4 the S.No. of the experimental is shown in column-1, Melting Temperature in column-2, injection Pressure in column-3, Cooling Time in column-4, Injection Speed in column-5 and Impact Strength (IS) in column-6 after experiment, the seventh column presents the S/N ratio for the Impact Strength for S/N ratio Column-7. By applying 'Larger-the-Better' type quality characteristic, the S/N Ratio response table for each level of the process parameters (Melting Temperature, Injection Pressure, Cooling Time and Injection Pressure) was created in the integrated manner and the results are given in the following stages.

S.No	Melting Temp.	Inj. Pr.	Cooling Time	Inj. Speed	I S (N/mm2)	S/N Ratio
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	100	40	10	20	1.725	4.736
2	100	50	12	30	1.975	5.911
3	100	60	14	40	1.775	4.983
4	100	70	16	50	1.825	5.225
5	125	40	12	40	1.8	5.105
6	125	50	10	50	1.875	5.460
7	125	60	16	20	1.925	5.688
8	125	70	14	30	1.95	5.800
9	150	40	14	50	1.9	5.575
10	150	50	16	40	1.825	5.225
11	150	60	10	30	1.825	5.225
12	150	70	12	20	1.875	5.460
13	175	40	16	30	1.85	5.343
14	175	50	14	20	1.825	5.225
15	175	60	12	50	1.66	4.402
16	175	70	10	40	1.85	5.343

#### Table-4: S/N Ratio Values for output Responses

#### V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

## A. Estimation of Optimal Levels of Parameters for Injection Moulding Responses Parameters:

Optimal levels for parameters various responses are estimated by S/N ratio analysis and the estimation are explained in the following.

A. Estimation of Optimal Levels of Parameters for Impact strength

The Larger-the-Better quality characteristic is used to estimate the S/N ratio for Impact strength response of injection moulding parameters and the results are shown for Table-5. From the Table-5, it is observed that temperature is most influential parameter for Impact strength followed by Injection speed, Injection pressure and Cooling time. Hence, cooling time is the least influential parameter for Impact strength response. The performance characteristics are shown the Figure. 6. From the graph it can be seen that the Impact strength, the optimal parameter levels are identified as 125<sup>o</sup>C Melting Temperature, 70 Bar Pressure, 14 Sec Cooling time and 40 rpm Injection speed.

Level	Melting Temp. ( <sup>0</sup> C) A	Inj. Pr. (bar) B	Cooling Time (sec) C	Inj. Speed (RPM) D
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	5.214	5.19	5.191	5.277
2	5.514	5.455	5.22	5.57
3	5.371	5.075	5.396	5.165
4	5.079	5.457	5.371	5.166
Delta	0.435	0.382	0.205	0.406
Rank	1	3	4	2

Table-5: Mean S/N Response Table for Impact strength by Factor Levels



Figure-6: Effect of the Injection moulding Parameters on the Impact strength *B. Analysis of Variance Approach* 

The percentage contributions of each injection moulding parameters on output response are estimated using ANOVA approach and the results are given in the following.

a) Estimation of Significant Parameters

The most effective factor affecting among the Responses was determined by performing Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). ANOVA for various Responses and significant parameters affecting the responses are discussed in the following.

b) Estimation of Significant Parameters of Impact Strength

The percentage of contribution of Injection Moulding parameters on Impact strength is depicted in ANOVA Table-6.3. That the Melting Temperature, Injection Pressure, Cooling Time and Injection Speed have significant effects on the Impact strength as shown in Table-6.

Source	DF	Seq SS	Adj SS	Adj MS	F	Р	% of contribution
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Melting Temp	3	0.428	0.428	0.143	0.57	0.671	19.551
Inj. Pr.	3	0.446	0.446	0.149	0.6	0.659	20.373
Cooling Time	3	0.129	0.129	0.043	0.17	0.908	5.922
Inj. Speed	3	0.439	0.439	0.146	0.59	0.663	20.053
Residual Error	3	0.746	0.746	0.248			34.103
Total	15	2.190					100

#### Table-6: Results of the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Impact strength

#### VI. CONCLUSION

The production of cylindrical rods are produced by Injection Moulding considering the 90% of Linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) as matrix material and 10% of Fly Ash as reinforcement material. The Taguchi Method is Considered for, S/N ratios was used for finding the optimal set of control parameters The results show that, For Impact Strength are identified as A2, B4, C3 and D3 i.e. Melting Temperature 125<sup>o</sup>C, Pressure 70 Bar, Cooling time 14 Sec and Injection speed 40 rpm. Melting temperature is the most significant parameter while cooling time is the insignificant parameter.

The ANOVA shows contribution of parameters i.e. the Melting Temperature, Injection Pressure, Cooling Time and Injection Speed are affecting the Impact Strength by 50.706%, 30.024%, 8.568%, and 5.844% respectively.

The influence of all factors has been identified and believed can be a key factor in helping mould designers in determining optimum process conditions injection molding parameters.

## A. Scope for future work

The scope for further improvement, the following suggestions may prove useful for future work:

- HDPE and LDPE as matrix material
- Percentage of reinforcement material beyond the levels considered for this work.
- Aluminum oxide, and Boron carbide may be considered as reinforcement materials.
- Optimization can be performed by Grey Relation Analysis (GA), Fuzzy Logics and Neural Networks and Regression Analysis etc.,

#### REFERENCES

- Chung-Neng Huang et al., Determination of Optimal Manufacturing Parameters for Injection Mold by Inverse Model Basing on MANFIS -JILSA, Vol.2 No.1, February 2010
- [2] Khairur R. Jamaludin et al., SS316L Powder with Design of Experiment Method for Best Sintered Density Chiang Mai J. Sci. 2009; 36(3): 349-358
- [3] S. Kamaruddin, Zahid et al., Application of Taguchi Method in the Optimization of Injection Moulding Parameters for Manufacturing Products from Plastic Blend - International Journal of Engineering and Technology, Vol.2, No.6, December 2010 ISSN: 1793-8236
- [4] Alireza Akbarzadeh and Mohammad Sadeghi Parameter Study in Plastic Injection Molding Process using Statistical Methods and IWO Algorithm - International Journal of Modeling and Optimization, Vol. 1, No. 2, June 2011
- [5] Ning-yun LU et al., Multi-objective process parameter optimization for energy saving in injection molding process - Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE A (Applied Physics & Engineering) ISSN 1673-565X (Print); ISSN 1862-1775 (Online) 2012 13(5):382-394
- [6] M. V. Kavade1, S. D. Kadam Parameter Optimization of Injection Molding of Polypropylene by using Taguchi Methodology - IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) ISSN: 2278-1684 Volume 4, Issue 4 (Nov. - Dec. 2012), PP 49-58
- [7] Abu Bakar Sulong et al., Feedstock of 16 μm Stainless Steel Powder (SS316L), PEG, PMMA and Stearic Acid, Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 8(6): 2998-3003, 2012.
- [8] Saude Nasuha, et al., A Study on the Mechanical Properties of Polymer-Ceramic Composite Using Injection Moulding, Journal Applied Mechanics and Materials, 159, 2012 (35-40).
- [9] Kingsun Lee1 and Jui-Chang Lin Optimization of Injection Molding Parameters For Led Lampshade ICETI 2012-J1147\_SCI No. 13-CSME-70, E.I.C. Accession 3528 Transactions of the Canadian Society for Mechanical Engineering, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2013
- [10] Rishi Pareek, and Jaiprakash Bhamniya Optimization of Injection Moulding Process using Taguchi and ANOVA - International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 4, Issue 1, January-2013 ISSN 2229-5518
- [11] Dr.A. Riaz Ahamed et. al., Designing and Optimizing the Parameters which affect the Molding Process using Design of Experiment -International Journal of Research in Mechanical Engineering Volume 1, Issue 2, October-December, 2013, pp.116-122, © IASTER 2013

- [12] Mr. Anandrao B. Humbe and Dr. M.S. Kadam- Optimization Of Critical Processing Parameters For Plastic Injection Molding For Enhanced Productivity And Reduced Time For Development ISSN 0976 - 6340 (Print) ISSN 0976 - 6359 (Online) Volume 4, Issue 6, November - December (2013)
- [13] KALPESH S.KAMBLE, et al.,- Investigation and Analysis of the Active Factors to Address the Defect in Plastic Injection Molding Process - Proceedings of 7th IRF International Conference, 27th April-2014, Pune, India, ISBN: 978-93-84209-09-4.
- [14] M.H.I. Ibrahim1, et al., Optimisation of Processing Condition Using Taguchi Method on Strength of HDPE- Natural Fibres Micro Composite- Applied Mechanics and Materials Vol. 660 (2014) pp 33-37.
- [15] Mr. Rahul M Thaker Ms. Neha B. Joshi Finite Element Analysis and Design Optimization of Platen for Injection Molding Machine - IJIRST –International Journal for Innovative Research in Science & Technology Volume 1 | Issue 12 | May 2015 ISSN (online): 2349-6010 All rights reserved by www.ijirst.org 323
- [16] Abohashima et al., Minimization of Defects Percentage in Injection Molding Process using Design of Experiment and Taguchi Approach -Engineering & Management ISSN: 2169-0316 Ind Eng Manage 2015, 4:5 http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2169-0316.1000179
- [17] Jamaluddin Abdullah, et al., Optimization of Injection Moulding Process Parameters for Recycled High Density Polyethylene (RHDPE) Using the Taguchi Method - Proceedings of 9th IASTEM International Conference, Bali, Indonesia, 9th January 2016, ISBN: 978-81-925751-9-3
- [18] S.S.Bajaj and J.J.Salunke Experimental Analysis and Optimization of Process Parameters of Plastic Injection Moulding for the Material Polypropylene - IJSRD - International Journal for Scientific Research & Development Vol. 4, Issue 05, 2016 | ISSN (online): 2321-0613